

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF EASTRY PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 24th MAY 2006 AT 6.30pm EASTRY VILLAGE HALL

Present: Councillors Sam Shevde Iris Mollart Sandra Hooper
 David Carr Andrew Barwick Sheila Smith
 Margaret Pemble Ann Wiles

Sarah Wells Clerk to the Parish Council

1. ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

It was proposed by Cllr Hooper and seconded by Cllr Carr that Cllr Shevde remain as Chairman. There being no other nominations this was put to the vote. All agreed. The Chairman suggested that the committee also have a vice chairman.

It was proposed by Cllr Hooper and seconded by Cllr Mollart that Cllr Carr act as vice Chairman. There being no other nominations this was put to the vote. All agreed.

2. APOLOGIES

None received.

3. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

a) DOV/06/00425

Proposal: Erection of single storey rear extension together with change of use and conversion of existing outbuildings to provide a single dwelling house, including external alterations to fenestration

Location: The Old Forge House, High Street, Eastry

The plans were discussed in detail. After much discussion it was agreed the Parish Council would object on the following grounds:

i) No plans have been provided of the road access or provision made to improve the site lines on to a very congested road. The Council were concerned that this property is next to the start of a footpath that is a main route for school children. Although a zebra crossing is situated a few yards up the road, most people take the direct route and cross the road at the entrance to the footpath if they are to continue or started there joining in the bottom half of the village.

ii) Additional traffic accessing this extremely busy road would have a detrimental effect on the area. Currently cars leaving the Village Hall, Eastry House and this property all join the High Street within 100 meters of each other.

b) DOV/06/00565

Proposal; Erection of single storey rear extension

Location: 2 Cross Farm Cottage, Liss Road, Eastry

After examination of the plans it was agreed this application should be positively supports. The proposal was of a good design in keeping with the property. It would not have any detrimental effect on the surrounding area.

4. PLANNING DECISIONS

None received

5. PLANNING CORRESPONDENCE

None received

The meeting closed at 6.50pm.